1 2	DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556 dswanson@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532 mark.perry@weil.com JOSHUA M. WESNESKI (D.C. Bar No.	
3	333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000	1500231; <i>pro hac vice</i>) joshua.wesneski@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP	
4	Facsimile: 213.229.7520	2001 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036	
5 6	CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No. 492089; pro hac vice)	Telephone: 202.682.7000 Facsimile: 202.857.0940	
7	crichman@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.	MORGAN D. MACBRIDE, SBN 301248 morgan.macbride@weil.com	
8	Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202.955.8500	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Redwood Shores Pkwy, 4th Floor	
9	Facsimile: 202.467.0539 JULIAN W. KLEINBRODT, SBN 302085	Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: 650.802.3044 Facsimile: 650.802.3100	
10	jkleinbrodt@gibsondunn.com	1 desimile: 050.002.5100	
11	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600		
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306		
13	1 desimile. 113.373.0300		
14			
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18			
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION		
20	EPIC GAMES, INC.	Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH	
21	Plaintiff, Counter-defendant	APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT IN SUPPORT	
22	V.	OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL	
23	APPLE INC.,	The Honorable Thomas S. Hixson	
24	Defendant, Counterclaimant		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

CASE No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT ISO MOTION TO SEAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	11
LEGAL STANDARD1	
DISCUSSION2	
CONCLUSION4	

APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT ISO MOTION TO SEAL i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s
Cases
Al Otro Lado, Inc. v. Wolf, 2020 WL 5422784 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2020)
In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig., 2018 WL 3067783 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2018)
Apple Inc. v. Rivos, Inc., 2024 WL 1204115 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2024)
DNA Genotek Inc. v. Spectrum Sols., L.L.C., 2023 WL 4335734 (S.D. Cal. May 10, 2023)
Ervine v. Warden, 214 F. Supp. 3d 917 (E.D. Cal. 2016)
Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006)
Krommenhock v. Post Foods, LLC, 2020 WL 2322993 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2020)
Lamartina v. VMware, Inc., 2024 WL 3049450 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2024)
Lee v. Great Am. Life Ins. Co., 2023 WL 8126850 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2023)
Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206 (9th Cir. 2002)
PQ Labs, Inc. v. Qi, 2014 WL 4617216 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2014)
Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP v. Innovacon, Inc., 2018 WL 1001097 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2018)
Snapkeys, Ltd. v. Google LLC, 2021 WL 1951250 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2021)
UnifySCC v. Cody, 2023 WL 7170265 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2023)
Vineyard House, LLC v. Constellation Brands U.S. Ops., Inc., 619 F. Supp. 3d 970 (N.D. Cal. 2021)

1	Williams v. Apple Inc., 2021 WL 2476916 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2021)
2	Other Authorities
3 4	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)
5	Local Rule 79-5
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
28	
∠ ∪	

CASE No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT ISO MOTION TO SEAL iii

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and Local Rule 79-5, Apple Inc. ("Apple")

submits this statement in support of Epic Games, Inc.'s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether

Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 (Dkt. 1192) ("Epic's

Motion"). Apple respectfully requests that the Court seal portions of the privilege log entries submitted

as Exhibit A to Epic's Motion. This exhibit contains information sealable under controlling law and

Local Rule 79-5. Specifically, Exhibit A contains excerpts from Apple's privilege log prepared for the

Special Masters conducting evaluation of the privilege claims stemming from Apple's re-review. The

privilege log is required to be filed under the terms of the Protocol, but contains competitively sensitive,

non-public information regarding Apple's project codenames and the engagement of outside legal

counsel and consultants in connection with foreign regulatory issues in the European Union. It also

contains personally identifiable information in the form of email addresses of Apple employees. Apple's

proposed redactions of Exhibit A are indicated in the redacted version of Exhibit A filed with this

statement and itemized in the concurrently filed Declaration of Mark A. Perry (the "Perry Declaration").

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LEGAL STANDARD

"The court may, for good cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense," including preventing the disclosure of information. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). The Court has "broad latitude" "to prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, *but not limited to*, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or confidential information." *Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (emphasis in original); *see also Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (compelling circumstances exist to seal potential release of trade secrets) (citing *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)); *PQ Labs, Inc. v. Qi*, 2014 WL 4617216, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2014) (granting multiple motions to seal where publication would lead to the disclosure of trade secrets); *Apple Inc. v. Rivos, Inc.*, 2024 WL 1204115, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2024) (granting request to seal "internal product codenames" and noting that a prior request for the same had also been granted). Courts often find good cause exists to seal personally identifiable information. *See, e.g., Snapkeys, Ltd. v. Google LLC*, 2021 WL1951250, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2021) (granting motion to file under seal personally identifiable information, including email addresses and telephone numbers

of current and former employees).

Although a party must show compelling circumstances to seal information appended to dispositive motions, the standard for non-dispositive motions is simply "good cause." *In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, 2018 WL 3067783, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2018); *Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP v. Innovacon, Inc.*, 2018 WL 1001097, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2018); *see DNA Genotek Inc. v. Spectrum Sols., L.L.C.*, 2023 WL 4335734, at *2 (S.D. Cal. May 10, 2023). In general, requests to seal information should be narrowly tailored "to remove from public view only the material that is protected." *Ervine v. Warden*, 214 F. Supp. 3d 917, 919 (E.D. Cal. 2016); *Vineyard House, LLC v. Constellation Brands U.S. Ops., Inc.*, 619 F. Supp. 3d 970, 972 n.2 (N.D. Cal. 2021) (Gonzalez Rogers, J.) (granting a motion to seal "because the request is narrowly tailored and only includes confidential information").

DISCUSSION

Apple seeks to seal the personally identifiable information and the sensitive business information regarding project codenames and Apple's engagement of certain outside counsel and consultants in Exhibit A. *See* Perry Decl. ¶ 5.

Apple's administrative motion to seal is subject to the "good cause" standard because it concerns non-dispositive objections related to discovery. *See, e.g., Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179 ("[T]he public has less of a need for access to court records attached only to non-dispositive motions because those documents are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action."); *Lee v. Great Am. Life Ins. Co.*, 2023 WL 8126850, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2023) ("Matters concerning discovery generally are considered nondispositive of the litigation" (quotation omitted)); *see also In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, 2018 WL 3067783, at *2; *Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP*, 2018 WL1001097, at *1; *Al Otro Lado, Inc. v. Wolf*, 2020 WL 5422784, at *4 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2020).

Apple's sealing request meets the good cause standard here. *Lamartina v. VMware, Inc.*, 2024 WL 3049450, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2024) (good cause to seal internal email communications). Apple operates in an intensely competitive environment, and thus has taken extensive measures to protect the confidentiality of its information. *See* Perry Decl. ¶ 3. Disclosure of the sealed information in Exhibit A relating to the confidential engagement of outside counsel and consultants and confidential project codenames could harm Apple's business interests. *Id.* ¶ 5; *see also DNA Genotek Inc.*, 2023 WL

4335734, at *2 (finding good cause where disclosure would "undercut" a party's "position ... in the marketplace"). Additionally, courts in this district have found not only good cause, but compelling reasons exist to seal personally identifiable information. See Snapkeys, 2021 WL 1951250, at *3 (granting motion to file under seal personally identifiable information, including email addresses and telephone numbers of current and former employees); see also UnifySCC v. Cody, 2023 WL 7170265, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2023) (finding compelling reasons to seal personally identifying information of employees, including names, addresses, phone numbers, and email addresses).

Apple has narrowly tailored its sealing request to include only the information necessary to protect its confidential business information and personally identifiable information. See Krommenhock v. Post Foods, LLC, 2020 WL 2322993, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2020) (granting motion to seal "limited" information); see also Phillips, 307 F.3d at 1211; Williams v. Apple Inc., 2021 WL 2476916, at *2–3 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2021) (noting Apple's narrowed sealing requests with "tailored redactions"); Dkt. No. 643 at 3 (finding Apple's proposed redactions appropriate for an exhibit when redactions were "narrowly tailored" to "sensitive and confidential information"). Apple has only partially redacted limited information in Exhibit A. See Perry Decl. ¶ 5.

For the foregoing reasons, there is good cause that warrants partially sealing the exhibit to Epic's Motion.

CONCLUSION

Apple respectfully requests that the Court seal the information identified in the accompanying declaration.

3

21

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

Dated: February 18, 2025

25

24

26

27

28

Respectfully submitted,

By: Mark A. Perry Mark A. Perry WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

Attorney for Apple Inc.